

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

DATE: April 13, 1978

SUBJECT: Metolachlor - Generic Standard

FROM: Ecologist, Ecological Effects Branch, Criteria & Evaluation Division

TO: James Skaptason, Metolachlor Team Leader

Attached is the chapter on Non-Target Organisms with my corrections.

The section is technically correct, and I have noted only a few minor typographical errors.

*John S. Leitzke*

John S. Leitzke

cc. Clayton Bushong  
Dr. William G. Phillips

1/1c

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### TECHNICAL METOLACHLOR

#### F. EFFECTS ASSESSMENT - OTHER NON-TARGET ORGANISMS

1. Toxicity to Terrestrial Invertebrates
  - a. Experimental Toxic Effects
    - (1) Soil Microbes (162.62 - 8.F.3.)
    - (2) Earthworms
    - (3) Pollinating Insects
    - (4) Predators and Parasites
    - (5) Other Terrestrial Invertebrates
  - b. Accident Exposure Experience
  - c. Mode of Action
  - d. Symptomatology and Pathology
  - e. Effect Assessment for Terrestrial Invertebrates
2. Toxicity to Aquatic Invertebrates
  - a. Experimental Toxic Effects
    - (1) Acute Toxicity to Aquatic Invertebrates (162.72-2)
    - (2) Acute Toxicity to Estuarine and Marine Invertebrates (162.72-3)
    - (3) Life-Cycle Studies of Aquatic Invertebrates (162.72-4)
    - (4) Aquatic Toxicity and Residue Studies (162.72-5)
  - b. Accident Exposure Experience
  - c. Mode of Action
  - d. Symptomatology and Pathology
  - e. Effects Assessment for Aquatic Invertebrates
3. Toxicity to Fish
  - a. Experimental Toxic Effects
    - (1) Fish Acute LC50 (162.72-1)
    - (2) Acute Toxicity to Estuarine and Marine Fish (162.72-3)
    - (3) Embryo and Life Cycle Studies (162.72-4)
    - (4) Fish Toxicity and Residue Studies (162.72-5)
  - b. Accident Exposure Experience
  - c. Mode of Action
  - d. Symptomatology and Pathology
  - e. Effects Assessment for Fish

4. Toxicity to Birds
  - a. Experimental Toxic Effects
    - (1) Avian Single-Dose Oral LD50 (162.72-1)
    - (2) Avian Dietary LC50
    - (3) Avian Reproduction (162.71-4)
  - b. Accident Exposure Experience
  - c. Mode of Action
  - d. Symptomatology and Pathology
  - e. Effects Assessment for Birds
5. Toxicity to Wild Mammals
  - a. Experimental Toxic Effects
    - (1) Applicability of Laboratory Animal Data
    - (2) Mammalian Acute Toxicity (162.71-3)
  - b. Accident Exposure Experience
  - c. Mode of Action
  - d. Symptomatology and Pathology
  - e. Effects Assessment for Wild Mammals
6. Toxicity to Non-Target Plants
  - a. Experimental Toxic Effects
    - (1) Applicability of Target Plant Data
    - (2) Data on Treated Crops
    - (3) Data on Other Exposed Non-Target Plants
  - b. Accident Exposure Experience
  - c. Mode of Action
  - d. Symptomatology and Pathology
  - e. Effects Assessment for Non-target Plants
7. Data Gaps
8. Labeling Requirements
9. Bibliography

## TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES

### Experimental Toxic Effects

#### Acute Toxicity to Aquatic Invertebrates (162.72-2)

The minimum data requirement for acute toxicity on aquatic invertebrates is for evaluation of one aquatic invertebrate.

Data are available on the acute toxicity of technical metolachlor to the water flea (*Daphnia magna* STRAUS) (Vilkas 1976). The 48 hour on effect level was determined to be 5.6 ppm. The 48 hour LC50 with 95% confidence limits is 25.1 (21.6-29.2) ppm indicating metolachlor is slightly toxic to aquatic invertebrates.

This information satisfies the requirement for acute toxicity data on aquatic invertebrates.

No precautionary labeling regarding aquatic invertebrates is required.

#### Acute Toxicity to Estuarine and

##### Marine Invertebrates (162.72-3)

Estuarine and marine organism toxicity tests are not required to support the registration of the technical or a the presently registered formulated product. The pesticide is not intended for direct application to the estuarine or marine environment nor is it expected to enter this environment in significant concentrations because of its use or mobility.

#### Life-Cycle Studies of Aquatic Invertebrates (162.72-4)

The need for this test is still under consideration. Environmental chemistry exposure data are needed to determine if conditions for requiring this test have been met. On the other hand, toxicity at low levels in the fish life-cycle test would indicate a possible hazard to the invertebrates.

#### Aquatic Organism Toxicity and Residue Studies (162.72-5)

The need for this test is still under consideration. Environmental chemistry exposure data are needed to determine if conditions for requiring this test have been met.

### Accident Exposure Experience

No accidents with technical metolachlor have been reported.

### Mode of Action

No data have been identified on this subject. No current requirement for this kind of data exists.

### Symptomatology and Pathology

No data have been identified on this subject. No current requirement for this kind of data exists.

### Effects Assessment for Aquatic Invertebrates

An acute laboratory study determined a no effect level to a freshwater invertebrate (Daphnia magna) to be 5.6 ppm. Metolachlor yields an LC50 - measured by immobility - in Daphnia at 25.1 ppm. Therefore, metolachlor is judged to be slightly toxic to aquatic invertebrates.

## TOXICITY TO FISH

### Experimental Toxic Effects

#### Fish Acute LC50 (162.72-1)

The minimum data requirements for acute toxicity are tests on one cold water species (preferably rainbow trout) and one warm water species (preferably bluegill).

Data on the acute toxicity of technical metolachlor to fish is limited to the work conducted by Sachsse and Ullman (1974b).

→ The data presented on a cold water species--rainbow trout (Salmo gairdneri) are not adequate to establish the acute 96 hour LC50 due to various deviations from recommended protocols. The most significant deviation was aeration during the study. This practice may have resulted in volatilization of the toxicant from the medium.

Data are presented on four species of warm water fish:

Species	96 Hour LC50 (ppm)	95% Confidence Limits
Crucian Carp ( <i>Carassius carassius</i> )	4.9	3.6 - 6.8
Channel Catfish ( <i>Ictalurus punctatus</i> )	4.9	3.6 - 6.8
Bluegill ( <i>Lepomis macrochirus</i> )	15.0	*
Guppy ( <i>Lebistes reticulatus</i> )	8.6	7.4 - 10.5

The data are acceptable to establish that metolachlor is moderately toxic to warm water fish acute LC50 data. However, prior to registration of technical metolachlor the basic study, 96-hour acute LC50 for a cold water species (rainbow trout) of fish, is required as per Sec 3 Regulations and the proposed Guidelines.

Based on the available information and currently acceptable uses for this data requirement a tentative determination is made that no labeling precaution regarding hazard to fish is required.

#### Acute Toxicity to Estuarine and Marine Fish (162.72-3)

Estuarine and marine organism toxicity tests are not required to support the registration of the technical or a presently registered formulated product. The pesticide is not intended for direct application nor is it expected to enter this environment in significant concentrations because of its use or mobility.

#### Embryo-Larvae and Life Cycle Studies (162.72-4)

Prior to registration of formulated products containing metolachlor this study on the technical is required as per Sec. 3 Regulations and the proposed Guidelines. The study is needed to perform a hazard assessment. The fish life-cycle test on a freshwater fish (fathead minnow, preferably) is required in lieu of the embryo study.

#### Fish Toxicity and Residue Studies (162.72-5)

The need for this test is still under consideration. Environmental chemistry exposure data are needed to determine if conditions for requiring this test have been met.

#### Accident Exposure Experience

No data have been identified on this subject. No current requirement for this kind of data exists.

### Mode of Action

No data have been identified on this subject. No current requirement for this kind of data exists.

### Symptomatology and Pathology

Mortality, hypersensitivity, loss of equilibrium and later apathy were observed at 2.1 ppm of pesticide for the crucian carp and the channel catfish. These symptoms were also observed in the bluegill at 21 ppm and the guppy at 6.5 ppm (Sachs et al. 1974b.)

### Effects Assessment for Fish

Acute laboratory studies on several species of warm water fish demonstrated hypersensitivity, loss of equilibrium, apathy and finally mortality at 2.1 ppm. Metolachlor yielded an LC50 of 4.9 ppm, indicating it is moderately toxic to fish.

## TOXICITY TO BIRDS

### Experimental Toxic Effects

#### Avian Single-Dose Oral LD50 (162.71-1)

The minimum data requirement for avian oral testing is testing on one avian species, either a wild waterfowl (preferably the mallard) or an upland game bird (preferably the bobwhite or other native quail), or the ring-necked pheasant. The species shall be the same as one of the species selected for avian dietary LC50 testing.

Data on the single dose oral toxicity of metolachlor to avian wildlife are limited to the work reported by Fink (1976); the acute oral LD50 for mallards (Anas platyrhynchos) was recalculated from given cumulative mortality data to be 4640 (3000-7200) mg/kg.

A review of the study revealed deviations of test procedures from generally accepted guidelines, gross errors in the original statistical analysis and discrepancies in body weights and efficiency of feed utilization. Deviations of test procedures included: use of ducklings that were too young; test duration was too short; no pre-test fasting period; average body weights of ducklings differing markedly across test groups.

The study gives data that can be regarded as only supplemental about the acute oral toxicity to avian wildlife and does not meet the registration requirement for this toxicity data.

#### Avian Dietary LC50 (162.71-2)

→ The minimum data requirements for avian dietary testing are testing on two avian species, one species of wild waterfowl (preferably the mallard duck) and one species of upland game bird (preferably the bobwhite ~~quail~~ or other native quail), or the ring-necked pheasant.

→ Fink has conducted studies on the mallard duck (Anas platyrhynchos) (1974a) and the bobwhite quail (Colinus virginianus) (1974b). The 5 (+3)-day dietary LC50 for both species exceeded 10,000 ppm.

The data are sufficient to satisfy the requirement for avian dietary LC50.

#### Avian Reproduction (162.71-4)

→ Prior to registration of formulated products containing metolachlor the following conditional study on the technical is required as per the Sec. 3 Regulations and the proposed Guidelines if the pesticide is persistent, is stored in plant or animal tissue or is used repeatedly or continuously. Since the Environmental Fate sections on Accumulation (162.62-1), specifically rotational crops and fish accumulation, and General Assessment are still in preparation and have not been received, no definitive statement that an avian reproduction study is required prior to registration can be made at this time. However, since tolerances were granted only on corn grain and not forage, fodder, or silage and since rotational crops other than corn within 18 months after application are prohibited, it appears that metolachlor might be persistent or stored in plant or animal tissue. Therefore, a requirement for an avian reproduction test is anticipated.

#### Accident Exposure Experience

No accidents with technical metolachlor have been reported.

#### Mode of Action

No data have been identified on this subject. No current requirement for this kind of data exists.

#### Symptomatology and Pathology

In the avian dietary LC50 wing droop and lethargy were observed in bobwhite quail at the highest dosage level, 10,000 ppm. In the avian single dose oral LD50 loss of co-ordination, salivation, and convulsions were observed preceding death at 4640 mg/kg dosage.

## Effects Assessment for Birds

The data generally indicate that metolachlor should pose little or, at the most, moderate short-term toxicity to avian wildlife.

## TOXICITY TO WILD MAMMALS

### Experimental Toxic Effects

#### Applicability of Laboratory Animal Data

The data on laboratory animals can generally be considered sufficient for assessment of the potential hazard to wild mammals but only on a case by case basis.

#### Mammalian Acute Toxicity (162.71-3)

Data on acute toxicity to wild mammals are required to support the registration of a formulated product when the proposed use pattern of the pesticide indicates that wild mammals may be exposed to the pesticide and the toxicity data required pursuant to Subpart F are not sufficient for assessment of the potential hazard to wild mammals. This data is not required due to the general acceptability of the laboratory animal data.

The acute oral LD50 in the laboratory rat is 2780 mg/kg with 95% confidence limits of 2130-3545 mg/kg (Bathe, 1973).

Technical metolachlor in corn oil has been shown to be emetic in Beagle dogs to an extent that precludes the establishment of an oral LD50 in dogs (Affiliated Medical Research, 1974 e&f). The study did, however, establish the emetic dose50 to be 19.0 mg/kg  $\pm$  9.7.

#### Accident Exposure Experience

No data have been identified on this subject. No current requirement for this kind of data exists.

#### Mode of Action

No data have been identified on this subject. No current requirement for this kind of data exists.

#### Symptomatology and Pathology

This section under Human and Domestic Animal Effects is still in preparation and has not been received.

## Effects Assessment for Wild Mammals

Since the Human and Domestic Animal sections on Effects and summary are still in preparation and have not been received, no assessment for wild mammals can be made at this time.

## TOXICITY TO NON-TARGET PLANTS

### Experimental Toxic Effects

#### Applicability of Target Plant Data

This data is only applicable to field corn.

#### Data on Treated Crop

Occasional instances of phytotoxicity were encountered. These were generally attributable to adverse weather conditions during the time the seeds were germinating or the seedlings were becoming established. The corn was able to outgrow the phytotoxic effects before harvest and no yield reduction was found to be associated with phytotoxic reactions. (CIBA-GEIGY Corporation 1975 a,e,g; 1977 j,k,l,m) (Harvey et al. 1974).

#### Data on Other Exposed Non-Target Plants

No data have been identified on this subject. No current requirement for this kind of data exists.

#### - Accident Exposure Experience

- No data have been identified on this subject. No current requirement for this kind of data exists.

### Mode of Action

Metolachlor is used as a Pre-plant or Preemergence application on corn and is most effective for the control of grass species. A few broadleaf weeds have been shown to be controlled. Basically, metolachlor inhibits the germination of seeds (Gerber et al. 1976 and Pillai et al. 1976). Corn is not affected by metolachlor at the concentrations that are effective for weed control. This is attributed to the large seed size of corn as well as its ability to metabolize metolachlor (Gerber et al. 1974).

Uptake of metolachlor is mainly through shoot tissue of grass species with relatively little taken up through the roots. Cotton and soybeans were more sensitive to root exposure (Diner et al. 1977 and Gerber et al. 1974). In tests using corn, barley, and peas where metolachlor was limited to specific plant areas, uptake by grasses was greatest in the shoot area, but peas were injured only when metolachlor was present in the root, seed and shoot areas (Pillai et al. 1977). Broadleaf plants are not generally affected since the shoot tissue is protected by the cotyledons until after the plants emerge from the soil.

*name of a spb*  
Pillai et al. (1975) tested metolachlor for its effects on photosynthesis and respiration of plants. They found that metolachlor was not an effective inhibitor of photosynthesis. Metolachlor stimulated respiration of Chlorella and mitochondria isolated from bean hypocotyls. In other tests it was found that metolachlor did not significantly affect leucine uptake or its incorporation into protein (Pillai et al. 1976). Metolachlor was also tested to determine its effect on root membrane permeability (Pillai et al. 1976 and Pillai et al. 1977). Test plants were onion, cucumber and corn which are sensitive, moderately sensitive and tolerant to root membrane permeability effects respectively. Concentrations of  $10^{-4}$  and  $10^{-5}$  M concentrations of Metolachlor caused leakage of previously absorbed  $^{32}\text{P}$  from the roots. This indicates that the mode of action of metolachlor involves membrane damage. It was possible by using 1,8-naphthalic anhydride to protect the roots of onion from permeability changes caused by metolachlor which lends support to this proposed mode of action.

### Symptomatology and Pathology

In the data on treated crops stunting occurred which was attributable to adverse weather conditions.

### Effects Assessment for Non-Target Plants

The data did not contribute sufficient information to determine the effect on field corn.

### DATA GAPS

Prior to reregistration of technical metolachlor certain studies are required as per Sec. 3 Regulations and the proposed Guidelines. The following studies are needed to perform a hazard assessment:

11

- (a) The avian acute oral LD50 for one species of waterfowl (preferably the mallard duck) or one species of upland game bird (preferably the bobwhite or other native quail) or the ring-necked pheasant - Sec. 162.71-1.
- (b) The 96-hour acute LC50 for a cold water species (rainbow trout) of fish - Sec. 162.72-1.

The following studies on the technical are required as per the Sec. 3 Regulations, and the proposed Guidelines. This study is required to assess the hazard associated with use of formulated products:

The fish life-cycle test on a freshwater fish (fathead minnow, preferably) (162.73-4).

The following study is anticipated to be needed to perform a hazard assessment:

The avian reproduction study is on bobwhite quail and mallard duck (162.71-4).

#### LABEL REQUIREMENTS

In order to reduce the amount of metolachlor reaching aquatic sites during the manufacturing of metolachlor, the following precautionary labeling is required.

"Do not discharge into lakes, streams, ponds, or public waters unless in accordance with an NPDES permit. For guidance contact your Regional Office of the EPA."

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

### EFFECTS ASSESSMENT - OTHER NON-TARGET ORGANISMS

- Affiliated Medical Research, Incorporated (1974e) Emetic Dose 50 in Beagle Dogs with CGA-24705-Technical: Contract No. 120-2255-34. Received Sep 26, 1974 under 5G1553. (Unpublished report prepared for CIBA-GEIGY Corp., Greensboro, N.C.; CDL:112840-C)
- Affiliated Medical Research, Incorporated (1974f) Emetic Dose 50 in Beagle Dogs with CGA-24705-6E: Contract No. 121-2255-34. Received Sep 26, 1974 under 5G1553. (Unpublished report prepared for CIBA-GEIGY Corp., Greensboro, N.C.; CDL:112840-D)
- Bathe, R. (1973) Acute Oral LD<sub>50</sub> of Technical CGA-24705 in the Rat: Project No. Siss 2979. Received Sep 26, 1974 under 5G1553. (Unpublished report prepared by CIBA-GEIGY Ltd., Basle, Switzerland; CDL:112840-A)
- CIBA-GEIGY Corporation (1975a) CGA-24705 Efficacy and Crop Safety Summary: 1973-1974. Received Mar 27, 1975 under 5F1606. (Unpublished report that includes efficacy and crop safety reports 1-51 and rotational bioassay reports 52-71; CDL:94383-A, 94384)
- CIBA-GEIGY Corporation (1975e) Efficacy and Crop Safety Summary GA-2-686 15G Herbicide for Corn. Received Feb 9, 1976 under 100-EUP-44. (Unpublished report including summary tables and efficacy tests 1-6, 8-14; CDL:96496-B)
- CIBA-GEIGY Corporation (1975g) Results of Dual<sup>TM</sup> 6E and Cycle<sup>TM</sup> 80W Experimental Permits. Received Dec 29, 1975 under 100-EUP-36. (Unpublished report; CDL:95053-A)
- CIBA-GEIGY Corporation (1977d) Application in Liquid Fertilizers. Received Feb 18, 1977 under 100-583. (Unpublished report that includes studies 1-18 with a summary; CDL:228101-E; 228121)
- CIBA-GEIGY Corporation (1977j) Dual<sup>(R)</sup> 6E + AAtrex<sup>(R)</sup> --Preemergence. Received Feb 18, 1977 under 100-583. (Unpublished report that includes studies 1-35 with a summary, and 1C-32C with a summary; CDL:228101-C; 228114; 228115)

CIBA-GEIGY Corporation (1977k) Dual<sup>(R)</sup> 6E + AAtrex<sup>(R)</sup>  
-- Preplant Incorporated. Received Feb 18, 1977  
under 100-583. (Unpublished report that includes  
studies 1-96 with a summary, 1C-32C with a summary,  
and 1D-10D with summary; CDL:228101-D; 228116;  
228117; 228118; 228119; 228120)

CIBA-GEIGY Corporation (1977l) Dual 6E Alone --  
Preemergence. Received Feb 18, 1977 under 100-583.  
(Unpublished report that includes studies 1-139 with  
a summary, 1C-70C with a summary, and 1D-12D with  
summary; CDL:228101-A; 228102; 228103; 228104;  
228105; 228106; 228107; 228108)

CIBA-GEIGY Corporation (1977m) Dual<sup>(R)</sup> 6E Alone  
-- Preplant Incorporated. Received Feb 18, 1977  
under 100-583. (Unpublished report that includes  
studies 1-82 with a summary, 1C-52C with a summary,  
and 1D-11D with summary; CDL:228101-B; 228109;  
228110; 228118; 228111; 228112; 228113)

Diner, A.M.; Davis, D.E.; Truelove, B. (1977) Absorption  
and translocation of root and foliar-applied  
<sup>14</sup>C-metolachlor in soybean: Abstract. In Proceedings of  
the Thirtieth Meeting of the Southern Weed Science  
Society; Jan 19-21, 1977; Dallas, Texas. Raleigh, N.C.:  
Glover Printing for the Southern Weed Science Society.

Ercegovich, C.D.; Bogus, E.R.; Buly, R.L. (1978) The  
Effects of 5, 25, and 125 PPM of Metolachlor, [2-Chloro-  
N-(2-ethyl-6-methylphenyl)-N-(2-methoxy-1-methylethyl)  
acetamide] on Actinomycetes, Bacteria and Fungi in  
Laboratory Culture Tests. E-2/1-CG78. Received Feb 6,  
1978 under 100-583. (Unpublished report prepared  
by Pesticide Research Lab., Pennsylvania State  
University for CIBA-GEIGY Corp., Greensboro, N.C.;  
CDL:232789-F)

Ercegovich, C.D.; Vallejo, R.P.; Bogus, E.R. (1978) The  
Effects of 5, 25, and 125 PPM of Metolachlor, [2-Chloro-  
N-(2-ethyl-6-methylphenyl)-N-(2-methoxy-1-methylethyl)  
acetamide], on Soil Nitrification. E-3/2-CG78. Received  
Feb 6, 1978 under 100-583. (Unpublished report  
prepared by Pesticide Research Lab., Pennsylvania  
State University for CIBA-GEIGY Corp., Greensboro,  
N.C.; CDL:232789-G)

Fink, R. (1974a) Eight-Day Dietary LC<sub>50</sub> --Mallard Ducks  
Technical CGA-24705: Project No. 108-111. Received Sep 26,  
1974 under 5G1553. (Unpublished report prepared by  
Truslow Farm Inc. for CIBA-GEIGY Corp., Greensboro, N.C.;  
CDL:112840-0)

Fink, R. (1974b) Eight-Day Dietary LC<sub>50</sub> --Bobwhite Quail  
Technical CGA-24705: Project No. 108-110. Received Sep  
26, 1974 under 5G1553. (Unpublished report prepared  
by Truslow Farms Inc. for CIBA-GEIGY Corp., Greensboro,  
N.C.; CDL:112840-P)

Fink, R. (1976) Acute Oral LD<sub>50</sub> - Mallard Duck: CGA-24705  
Technical: Final Report. Received Nov 23, 1976 under  
100-587. (Unpublished report prepared by Truslow  
Farms Inc. for CIBA-GEIGY Corp., Greensboro, N.C.;  
CDL:226955-D)

Gerber, H.R.; Mueller, G.; Ebner, L. (1974) CGA 24705, A  
new grasskiller herbicide. Proceedings of the 12th  
British Weed Control Conference; Nov 18-21, 1974; Brighton,  
England. Begbroke Hill, Oxford, England: ARC Weed  
Research Organization: Vol. 2:787-794.

Harvey, R.G.; Baker, C.R. (1974?) Annual Weed Control in  
Corn Study: Project No. 755. Received Feb 9, 1976  
under 100-EUP-44. (Unpublished report prepared for  
CIBA-GEIGY Corp., Greensboro, N.C.; CDL:96496-C)

Houseworth, L.D. (1973?a) Effect on CGA-24705 on Microbial  
Populations in Two Soils: Report No. 2 Received Sep 26,  
1974 under 5G1553. (Unpublished report prepared by  
University of Missouri--Columbia, Department of  
Plant Pathology for CIBA-GEIGY Corp., Greensboro,  
N.C.; CDL:94222-F)

Pillai, G.G.P., Davis, D.E. (1975) Mode of action of  
CGA-18762, CGA-17020, and CGA-24705. In Proceedings of  
the Twenty-Eighth Annual Meeting of the Southern Weed  
Science Society.

Pillai, C.G.P., Davis, D.E., Truelove, B. (1976)  
CGA-24705 effects on germination, growth, leucine  
uptake, and incorporation: Abstract. In Proceedings of  
the Twenty-Ninth Annual Meeting of the Southern Weed  
Science Society; Jan 27-29, 1976; Dallas, Texas. Raleigh,  
N.C.: Glover Printing for the Southern Weed Science  
Society: 403.

Pillai, G.G.P., Davis, D.E., Truelove, B. (1977) Site of  
uptake and mode of action of metolachlor: Abstract.  
In Proceedings of the Thirtieth Annual Meeting of the  
Southern Weed Science Society; Jan 19-21, 1977; Dallas,  
Texas. Raleigh, N.C.: Glover Printing for the Southern  
Weed Science Society: 367.

Sachsse, K.; Ullman, L. (1974b) Acute Toxicity to Rainbow Trout, Crucian Carp, Channel Catfish, Bluegill, and Guppy of Technical CGA-24705: Project No. Siss 3516. Received Sep 26, 1974 under 5G1553. (Unpublished report prepared by CIBA-GEIGY Ltd., Basle, Switerland; that includes a cable from CIBA-GEIGY Corp., Greensboro, N.C. on fish name change; CDL:112840-N)

Vilkas, A.G. (1976) Acute Toxicity of CGA-24705 Technical to the Water Flea Daphnia magna. Received Nov 23, 1976 under 100-587. (Unpublished report prepared by Aquatic Environmental Sciences, Union Carbide Corp. for CIBA-GEIGY Corp., Greensboro, N.C.; CDL:226955-C)